A preliminary assessment of professional footballers' awareness of injury prevention strategies

Richard D Hawkins, Colin W Fuller

Abstract

Players from five English professional football clubs completed a questionnaire containing 52 items relating to injury prevention practices and advice received on these issues. Responses were received from 55 players, which represents a mean response of 11 (4) and a response rate of 38 (14)% from each club (means (SD)). The main deficiencies in awareness of injury prevention strategies for players were identified as: use of shin pads during training, carbohydrate intake before and after training and after matches, cool downs after training and matches, and flexibility work. These deficiencies indicate a need for wider education of players in current injury prevention strategies. (Br J Sports Med 1998;32:140-143)

Keywords: football; injuries; attitudes; perceptions

During a prospective injury assessment project with professional footballers, it became apparent that many players were not implementing accepted control measures available for reducing the risk of injury.1 UK health and safety legislation aims to protect employees, including professional sportspeople,2 from injury at work. Important aspects of this legislation are the requirements under Regulations 8 and 11 of the Management of Health and Safety at Work (MHSW) Regulations 19923 for employers to ensure that employees have been provided with adequate information and training with regard to the hazards, risks, and control strategies related to their work. This study reports the findings of a limited survey to assess professional footballers' general awareness and application of injury prevention strategies.

Methods

Players from five English professional football clubs, who were taking part in a complementary injury assessment project, took part in a questionnaire based survey. The questionnaire was discussed with club physiotherapists before distribution to their professional playing staff for completion on a voluntary basis and return to the authors. Figure 1 is a copy of the questionnaire used in the survey.

In performing statistical analyses, Student's *t* test for correlated means was used, and statistical significance was accepted at the p<0.05 level. Each value is reported as a mean (SD).

Results

Questionnaires were distributed to 146 professional players at five English League clubs, a mean club value of 29 (8). Fifty five players responded, which represents a mean club response of 11 (4) and a response rate to the questionnaire of 38 (14)%. The player age and number of years as a professional footballer were 25 (4) years (range 18-38 years) and 6 (4) years (range 1-16 years) respectively. The players were from one Premier (9), two Division One (6 and 16), and two Division Two (9 and 15) teams, and their playing positions were as forwards (11), midfielders (24), defenders (14), and goalkeepers (6). The key findings from the survey can be summarised under the following headings.

PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT

In competitive matches, 28 players always wore shin pads with additional ankle protection; however, 23 players never wore shin pads with ankle protection. In training, 51 players never wore shin pads of any type, even though 30 of these players agreed that wearing shin pads reduced the risk of lower leg injury. Fifty three players said that they were not encouraged by coaching staff to wear shin pads during training.

NUTRITION

While 43 players always consumed carbohydrates before a match, fewer than 20 players always consumed carbohydrates after a match or before and after training. This was despite the fact that players said that they were given some advice on the consumption of carbohydrates before (48) and after (40) matches, and before (40) and after (42) training.

TRAINING

Warm ups and cool downs

Forty nine players always warmed up before a match and before training; however, none of the players always cooled down after. While 51 players agreed/strongly agreed with the benefits of warming up with respect to the risk of injury, only 17 agreed with the benefits of cooling down. Thirty nine players gave reasons for not always cooling down after training or matches (table 1).

Flexibility

Fifty one players always stretched the major leg muscles before a match and before training but only two always stretched these muscles after. Forty players said they did not take part in any

Centre for Hazard and Risk Management, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leics LE11 3TU, United Kingdom R D Hawkins C W Fuller

Correspondence to: Dr C Fuller.

Accepted for publication 15 January 1998

Table 1 Responses given by players for why cool downs are not always performed after training and matches

Responses	Training	Match	
No time	2	2	
Too tired	19	20	
Not told to	36	30	
No advice	4	6	
Not necessary	18	16	
Nobody else does it	25	25	

team flexibility sessions, 36 did not perform individual flexibility work, and 29 players did

not take part in flexibility sessions of any kind. Forty six players, who did not perform at least one flexibility session per week, gave responses to explain why. The reasons given were: "not told to do it" (26), "nobody else did it" (18), "did not believe it was necessary" (11), and "too tired" (4); no player responded that there was "not enough time". Only 24 players said they were "not given any advice on flexibility techniques" and 26 players agreed that "players with poor flexibility are more likely to get injured than those with good flexibility".

FOOTBALL AND INJURIES

An assessment of professional footballers' awareness of injury prevention strategies.

All questions are strictly confidential. Please be as truthful as possible and tick one box per question unless otherwise indicated.

PART A.	1.	Age (yrs):		2. Number of years as a profe	ssional:			
	3.	League: premier		4. Playing position: goalkeep	er 🗖 defende	r🗆 midfielder	forward 🗇	
	5.	How many injuries have you received over the last 12 months	s during training o	or a competitive match that have	resulted in you bein	ng unavailable for s	election?	
		i. competitive match injuries: 0	3	5 □ >5 □ 5 □ >5 □				
PART B.			always (100%)	very often (~ 75%)	often (~ 50%)	sometimes (~ 25%)	never (0%)	
	6.	Do you wear shin-pads in training?	0	0	а	•	_	
	7.	In competitive matches do you wear shin-pads with ankle protection?	0	0	0	a	σ	
	8.	Do you consciously consume carbohydrates (e.g. bread, pasta, rice, potatoes, chocolate, sugar) in the following situations?						
		i. pre-training:	0	. 0		<u></u>	<u> </u>	
		ii. post-training:	0	_ _	0	0	0	
		iii. pre-match: iv. post-match:	ō	_ 6	Ö	ő	ä	
	9.	Do you have a warm-up period prior to:	_	_	_		_	
		i. training: ii. matches:	0	Ω Β	0	<u> </u>	0	
	10	Do you have a cool-down period at the completion of:						
	10.	i. training:	0		0			
		ii. matches:		0		a	0	
	11	. Do you stretch the major leg muscles in the following situations?						
		i. warming-up prior to training:	_	0	0	0	o o	
		ii. warming-up prior to matches:	0	0	_	_	ä	
		iii. cooling-down after training: iv. cooling-down after matches:	0	0	0	0	0	
	12	Do you undertake flexibility training (not included as part of a warm-up or cool-down)?						
		i. times per week as part of a team session:	0 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	>3 🗖	
		ii. times per week as extra individual work:	0 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗇	3 🗇	>3 🗖	
	13	Do you undertake strength training in the gym? i. times per week as part of a team session:	0 🗆	10	2 🗇	3 🗆	>3 🗇	
		ii. times per week as part of a team session. ii. times per week as extra individual work:	ů 🗖	io	2 🗖	3 🗆	>3 🗖	
PART C.	In	the following questions tick the description which n	nost closely ma	tches your opinion of the st	atement.			
			strongly agree		neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	
	14	. The chance of sustaining an injury during training that prevents you from being available for selection is likely.	0	σ			o	
	15	. The chance of sustaining an injury during a competitive match that prevents you from being available for selection is likely.	0	a	٥		0	
	16	. There is a greater chance of sustaining an injury during a competitive match than during training.	_	0	0	0	a	
	17	. Injuries are a consequence of the actions of other players.	а	0	0	0	a	
		. The risk of lower-leg injuries in training is reduced by wearing shin-pads.		О	0		а	

				strongly agree	agree	neither agre nor disagre		strongly disagr
19.	Injury is more I	ikely towards the end of	a match.	٥	ø	o		0
20.	The risk of inju	ry is reduced by thoroug	hly warming-up					
	and stretching p	orior to:		0	0			0
	ii. matches:			ō	0	ā	_	ō
21.		ry is reduced by thoroug	hly cooling-down					
	and stretching a i. training:	ifter:		0	0	a	a	
	ii. matches:			0		0	_	
22.		or flexibility are more li good flexibility.	kely to get injured	0		_	0	0
22		are important in the pro-	ection against	_	_	_	_	_
23.	injury.	are important in the pro-	agamst		а			0
24.	The majority of training.	other players wear shin	pads during	o		_		o
25.	If you do not u	ndertake the following a		e reasons why not.				
		ing at least once per wee ning at least <mark>once</mark> per w				uining and matches uining and matches		
			strength	flexibility		/arm-ups		downs
	n/a		training	training	training	matches	training , 🗖	matches
-	a. not enough tir	ne				<u> </u>		
	 too tired after are not told to 	training/matches	0	0	0	٥	<u> </u>	0
		advice on techniques				0		6
	e. do not believe		0	0	0	0	.	
_	f. nobody else d	ocs it						
26.	Are you encou	raged to wear shin-pac	ls during training?	yes 🗆		no 🗆		
27.		any nutritional advice	on what to eat:					
	i. before traii ii. after traini			a lot □ a lot □		a little 🗍 a little 🗇	none 🗆	
	iii. before mate			a lot 🗆		a little 🗆	none 🗆	
	iv. after match	nes:		a lot 🗆		a little 🗆	none 🗆	
28.	Rank the follow separately, 11	wing injury mechanism being the most likely a	is from 1-10, and inj nd the most severe.	ury locations from 1-	11, for both	the likelihood of receiv	ing an injury and se	verity of injury
a.	mechanisms	i. likelihood	ii. severity.	-	o. location	s i. likelihoo	od ii. s	everity
	tackling			•	head			
	tackled	_	_		upper ex	tremity		
	running shooting	***	_		trunk groin			
	jumping	_			quadrice	ps		
	landing		-		hamstrin	gs		-
	heading turning	_			knce shin			
	collision				calf	_		
	overuse	_			ankle			
					foot	_		
								
29.	Have you any o	other comments concer	ning football and in	uries that you would	like to bring	to our attention		
	riave you uniy o			,	e to oring	out attention.		
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				····	
					····			

Figure .

Strength

Thirteen players said they did not take part in any team strength sessions, and eight did not perform individual strength work. Thirty six players took part in one or two strength sessions per week as a team, and 39 performed extra individual work; only three players reported that they did no strength work. Forty six players agreed with the statement that "strong muscles are important in the protection against injury".

While the present study only assesses the responses from a limited number of players in five football clubs, the results do indicate deficiencies in players' knowledge and implementation of injury reduction measures. The main deficiencies identified relate to an appreciation of the benefits of the use of shin pads during training, carbohydrate intake before and after training and after matches, cool downs after training and matches, and flexibility work. These preliminary results indicate that football clubs are not meeting the legal requirement under Regulations 8 and 11 of the MHSW Regulations³ of providing adequate infor-

mation and training on injury prevention strategies. The results of this study indicate the need for a comprehensive assessment to determine whether these results are representative of the majority of professional footballers.

- 1 Bangsbo J. Fitness training in football: a scientific approach. Bagsvaerd: HO+Storm, 1994.
- 2 Fuller C W. Implications of health and safety legislation for the professional sportsperson. Br J Sports Med 1995;29:5—
- 3 Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1992. London: HMSO, 1992. (SI 1992, No 2051).

Footballer's ankle

There is no doubt that articular cartilage requires a certain amount of movement for it to remain healthy. On the other hand, excessive and abnormal movement is unhealthy for it and will inevitably lead to degenerative change.

The term "footballer's ankle" was coined by T P McMurray¹ of Liverpool. In an article published posthumously in 1950 he described case histories of three professional footballers who had ankle problems. He also mentioned that he had treated three other footballers in this short anecdotal paper. He described how in football the foot is usually in a position of full equinus when kicking the ball. This leads to strain on the anterior capsule of the ankle joint. He suggested that this eventually gave rise to a bony outgrowth into the capsule of the joint from the anterior margin of the tibia, or from the neck of the talus. He pointed out that, although the appearance of osteophyte formation suggested arthritic change in the ankle, the articular surfaces were normal. All his patients were cured by an open operation to remove bone from the capsule of the ankle joint.

Scranton and McDermott² subsequently described the same condition as "anterior tibiotalar spurs". They classified these lesions into four categories, in which type IV included arthritic change. Their paper from Seattle described this condition in 37 patients. Their series included American footballers and baseball players, as well as ballet dancers. They compared the results of open excision of the spur with the results of arthroscopic excision. It is clear that the spur is not in the capsule of the ankle joint, but arises within the joint at the articular surface of the tibia. In their series, the follow up of the arthroscopy group was quite short. Success was judged by subjective criteria-that is, when the patient felt that the ankle was better than before the operation and was able to return to unlimited athletic activity. By this criterion all patients in both groups had good results. The patients in groups I and II appeared to recover more quickly than those in groups III and IV.

A recent prospective study by van Dijk and colleagues from Amsterdam has given further results of arthroscopic surgery.3 Out of 62 patients, his series included 24 soccer players. This paper gives useful technical hints on the removal of osteophytes arthroscopically. This was achieved using either a small osteotome or an arthroscopic shaver. They confirm that the osteophytes are actually within the joint and not within the capsule of the joint. It is not surprising that the ankle is injured by kicking a football, as the average kicking velocity is given as 96 km/h. During a match there would be 60-120 such contacts for each player, and the ensuing microtrauma in a position of dorsiflexion must be the reason why such lesions are common in professional footballers.

The results of arthroscopic excision of osteophytes for ankle impingement are certainly encouraging. Patients with smaller osteophytes seem to do better. My own experience of professional footballers with Scranton-McDermott type I and II lesions has been excellent. After arthroscopic excision of osteophytes, even those larger than 3 mm in size (grade II), a good recovery may be expected within weeks of the operation. With intensive physiotherapy, most professional footballers are able to play again four to six weeks after this type of arthroscopic procedure.

The long term outcome is not known. Undoubtedly, former professional footballers may develop severe osteoarthritis of the ankle. Although excision of osteophytes gives good short term results, there is no evidence that it will prevent long term degenerative change in the ankle joint.

T W D SMITH

Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon Northern General Hospital Sheffield

1 McMurray TP. Footballer's ankle. J Bone Joint Surg [Br] 1950; 32:68–9.

2 Scranton PE Jr, McDermott JE. Anterior tibiotalar spurs: a comparison of open versus arthroscopic debridement. Foot Ankle 1992;13:125–9.

3 van Dijk CN, Tol JL, Verheyen CCPM. A prospective study of prognostic factors concerning the outcome of arthroscopic surgery for anterior ankle impingement. *Am J Sports Med* 1997;25:737–45.